

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/645,07	3 05/13/96	YOSHIOKA		М	1046.1133/JD
		22M2/0917	_	EXAMINER	
STAAS & H	ALSEY NTH STREET NI	:1		GREGORY, B	
SUITE 500	MILL STREET NO	v		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	V DC 20001			2202	
1			1 1		

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

09/17/97

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/645,073

Applicant(s)

Makoto Yoshioka et al.

Examiner

Bernarr Earl Gregory

Group Art Unit 2202



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)				
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requireme				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on is/are object The proposed drawing correction, filed on	cted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Null received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	of the priority documents have been umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES			

Serial Number: 08/645,073

Art Unit: 2202

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 1. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 9 is indefinite and unclear as to whether it is claiming a method or a "storage device." In addition, claim 9 is unclear in that the method steps are not executed by the "storage device" and in that the "computer" is not clearly and definitely claimed. On line 3 of claim 9, the adverb "executably" is indefinite and unclear in context. That is to say, what does this adverb modify? Throughout claims 1-9, it is unclear what is meant by "sales period" in context. In claim 9, the "computer" is not clearly and definitely claimed. Independent claim 1 is indefinite and unclear as to whether a "content sales verifying system" is claimed or a "terminal." Independent claim 1 fails to recite any portion of a "content sales verifying system" other than a "terminal"; and, the body of the claim only recites the parts of the "terminal." In claim 2, "a single piece of medium" is unclear. Throughout claims 1-9, the uses of "effective period" are unclear. On line 2 of claim 3, "effective period" lacks a modifying article. Throughout claims 1-9, the uses of "center" and "content" are indefinite and unclear in context. In claim 3, "anterior to end of the effective period of said medium itself' is unclear. At the end of claim 5, the phrase "anterior to the end ... sales period" is unclear. In independent claim 8, it is unclear if a "content decryption key effective period verifying system" is claimed or a "center" is claimed. It is noted that the body of claim 8 only sets forth parts of the "center." Near the end of claim 8, the use of the verb "judges" is indefinite and unclear in context. Throughout claims 1-9, the mixed use of the words

Serial Number: 08/645,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 2202

"period" and "time" makes the claims indefinite and unclear as to whether these two words are

being used synonymously or in different senses. Throughout claims 1-9, the uses of the word

"time" per se are indefinite and unclear as to whether they refer to clock time (for example, 2:00

PM on September 11); relative time (that is, a certain number of minutes of processor time); or,

something else. Throughout claims 1-9, the claim language is indefinite and unclear due to failure

to render the Japanese into standard vernacular English. Dependent claims 2-7 are unclear in that

they depend from unclear independent claim 1.

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

William (U.S. Patent 4,740,890); Hershey et al (U.S. Patent 4,924,378); Edwards, Jr.

(U.S. Patent 5,014,234); Mu et al (U.S. Patent 5,343,524); Grantz et al (U.S. Patent 5,564,038);

and, Plum (U.S. Patent 5,579,479) are all of interest for being very similar to Applicants'

invention insofar as indefinite and unclear claims 1-9 can be understood.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bernarr Gregory whose telephone number is (703) 306-4153 and whose

FAX number is (703) 306-4195. The examiner may be reached on the Internet at

bernard.gregory@uspto.gov for informal communications.

Bunn C. Bry Bernarr E. Gregory

beg Primary Examiner

September 11, 1997 Art Unit 2202